

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/691,389	10/22/2003	Zhu Jian Zhan	12553/104	12553/104 7107	
7:	590 06/08/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON			NGUYEN, DUNG V		
Suite 600 333 W. San Carlos Street San Jose, CA 95110-2711			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3723		
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ε
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/691,389	ZHAN ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dung V. Nguyen	3723	·
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 22 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folio places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in diance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	iffidavit, or other evidence with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv		e final rejection, whichever	er is later. In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	, which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 May 2006</u>. A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismi	ssal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ampliant Amandman	+ (DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompiiani Amendmen	i (P10L-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affidate	Notice of Appeal will <u>I</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.  10. The affidavit are the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on or the status of the claims after (	entry is below or attac	ched.
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

DUNG VAN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Mahadev fails to teach, suggest or disclose "said edge blending jig is configured to allow said lapping tape to partially wrap a side edge between a front and rear face of each slider" as described in claim 1. Figure 3 of Mahadev clearly shows that a slide head attached to a blending jig which is configured to allow a tape 203 partially wraps a side edge between a front and rear face of slider 205a the same way that a tape 902 partially wraps a side of a slider 102 in figure 9 of the present invention.